

A reply to Scutaru's letter on the generalised exponents of $sl(3,C)$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 1827

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/23/10/022>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 08:34

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

COMMENT

A reply to Scutaru's letter on the generalised exponents of $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$

M Couture† and R T Sharp‡

† Theoretical Physics Branch, Chalk River Nuclear Laboratories, Chalk River, Ontario, Canada K0J 1J0

‡ Physics Department, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Received 26 October 1989, in final form 9 January 1990

Abstract. In a recent letter on the generalised exponents of the Lie algebra $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$, Scutaru refers to an integrity basis of the enveloping algebra proposed by us as a hypothesis and then proceeds to establish its validity. The purpose of this comment is to stress the fact that this integrity basis was not a hypothesis but a proven result.

Using a result of Kostant [1], Scutaru [2] recently derived a formula for the generalised exponents of the Lie algebra $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$. He then proceeded to establish a one-to-one correspondence between the $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ -module structure of the enveloping algebra of $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ as described by his formula and the one implied by the integrity basis proposed by us [3]; he refers to this basis as a hypothesis and concludes that the above exercise proves its validity. The purpose of this comment is to point out that the generating function derived in [3]

$$\mathcal{G}(U; \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) = \frac{1 + U^2\Lambda_1\Lambda_2 + U^4\Lambda_1^2\Lambda_2^2}{(1 - U^2)(1 - U^3)(1 - U\Lambda_1\Lambda_2)(1 - U^3\Lambda_1^3)(1 - U^3\Lambda_2^3)} \quad (1)$$

where U carries the degree of the tensor operator and Λ_i the representation labels λ_i (Cartan labels) as exponents, already establishes this result and therefore, as far as this one-to-one correspondence is concerned, the integrity basis in [3] was a proven result rather than a hypothesis.

Given a Lie algebra L of a Lie group G , its enveloping algebra $V(L)$ may be expressed [4] as the direct sum

$$V(L) = V^0(L) + V^1(L) + V^2(L) + \dots + V^n(L) + \dots \quad (2)$$

where $V^n(L)$ represents the set of elements of $V(L)$ which are symmetric and homogeneous of degree n , and $V^0(L) \equiv \mathbb{C}$. Let $S(L)$ be the symmetric algebra of L . Since $V(L)$ and $S(L)$ are isomorphic as L -modules, the decomposition by degree of $V(L)$ into a direct sum of irreducible L -modules which respects the grading (2) is identical to the decomposition of the tensor products

$$(\Gamma)^n = \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma \quad n \text{ identical copies } (n = 1, \infty) \quad (3)$$

where Γ is a d -dimensional tensor whose components are c -numbers and which transforms by the adjoint representation of L . Kostant's generalised exponents correspond to the degrees of the irreducible tensors into which the products (3) decompose.

The decomposition (3) is known as the symmetric plethysm and the irreducible tensors are referred to as polynomial tensors based on Γ ; the corresponding tensor operator in $V(L)$ is obtained through symmetrisation with respect to order. We shall now briefly describe the method used in [3] to decompose (3).

This method makes use of a subgroup H of G . The tensor Γ is a reducible tensor of H . Let us denote by $\Gamma_H^1, \Gamma_H^2, \dots, \Gamma_H^m$ the m irreducible H -tensors into which Γ decomposes. The idea is to first construct a generating function for H -tensors based on the m tensors Γ_H^i and then transform it into a generating function for G -polynomial tensors based on Γ . If one chooses $H \equiv U(1) \times U(1) \dots \times U(1)$ d times, the generating function for H -tensors is simply the generating function for weights; the transformation is then effected by making use of Weyl's characteristic function. The generating function (1) is derived by using $H \equiv SU(2) \times U(1)$; the conversion from a generating function for H -tensors to a generating function for G -tensors was done using the group-subgroup characteristic function whose role in the conversion parallels that of the Weyl characteristic function in converting a weight generating function to a generating function for G -tensors. In this approach one first derives the generating function for polynomial tensors ((1) in the $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ case) without any assumption about the integrity basis; at each step of the derivation, generating functions with proven integrity bases are used and since everything is done analytically, the generating function (1) constitutes a proven result and the validity, as far as the one-to-one correspondence which Scutaru establishes in [2] is concerned, of the integrity basis and syzygies follows immediately. There is no need for further testing, although the work of Scutaru does establish in a slightly more explicit form the syzygy written in symbolic form in (3.7) of our earlier paper.

References

- [1] Kostant B 1963 *Am. J. Math.* **85** 327
- [2] Scutaru H 1989 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** L191
- [3] Couture M and Sharp R T 1980 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **13** 1925
- [4] Dixmier J 1977 *Enveloping Algebras* (Amsterdam: North-Holland) ch 2